UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/878,581	06/11/2001	Susumu Nakagawa	450100-03278	2762
	7590 05/21/201 AWRENCE & HAUG	0	EXAMINER	
	ENUE- 10TH FL.	SHELEHEDA, JAMES R		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/878,581	NAKAGAWA, SUSUMU		
Examiner	Art Unit		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence	address
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wit 	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence	ce, which places the
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date o	14. The reply must be filed within one of the f	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The app I statutory period for reply originally set in the final	ropriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the 	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	
AMENDMENTS		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pric (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerate the first property of the first property		d because
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better forr appeal; and/or 	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyi	ing the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresp NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See		ent (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	attached Helios of Heli Semphant / memanic	Sitt (1 1 0 2 0 2 1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable	if submitted in a separate, timely filed amend	dment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided b		an explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcon showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we have a sufficient reasons.	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellan	t fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	status of the claims after entry is below or at	tached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in condition for allo	wance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S13. ☐ Other:	B/08) Paper No(s)	
	/James Sheleheda/	
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 10, 19, 22, 29, 30, 37 include amendments altering the claim scope and requiring additional search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's arguments regarding Bar-el on pages 19-22, of applicant's response, it is noted that Srinivasan was relied upon for disclosing the features of starting and stopping the stream for ad insertion, distributing the ads via the network.

In response to applicant's argument that Srinivasan does not disclose "package distribution", it is noted that Srinivasan explicitly discloses where the video may be transmitted with ads (stream distribution) or separately without the ads "package/download distribution) (paragraph 204-205). As defined in applicant's specification (page 31). This clearly meets the claim limitations.

In response to applicant's arguments that Srinivasan only discloses using a URL to pull the ads, it is noted that when the play back unit uses the URL, it is sending a requesting to the server to be provided with the content associated with that link. The server receives the request and then responds by sending out the appropriate information. The playback unit is not just "pulling" the ad as applicant suggests, as it must clearly be communicating with the server storing the ads.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding an "advertisement insertion condition", the receiver is notifying the ad server that the condition (i.e. time) to insert an ad has occurred.

In response to applicant's arguments that Srinivasan doesn't disclose transmitting an advertising image to the image content providing apparatus, Srinivasan explicitly discloses that the ad server will pull both the video and ads from other servers and then control the streams to start and stop them as needed to insert the ads into the video (paragraph 204).

In response to applicant's arguments that Srinivasan doesn't disclose that the content providing apparatus restarting the video stream, Srinivasan discloses that the "ad server" pulls the content and provides it the subscribers (paragraph 204-205). Thus, it clearly meets the language of a content providing apparatus.